## 

## Neuro-cognitive demands of foreign language communication in disaster situations

Andrea Révész, Hyeonjeong Jeong, Shungo Suzuki, Haining Cui, Shunsui Matsuura, Kazuya Saito, & Motoaki Sugiura





**UCL** 

#### At a time of ...

international conflict



... international action and collaboration crucial



... cannot happen without people being able to communicate in a second language in crisis settings.





#### Joint statement (2020):

"During a global health crisis, researchers, governments, and health care workers must be able to share accurate information. In such times, language matters, and fluency in our languages matters. The people of the world must be able to speak to each other and be understood—to communicate as effectively and as rapidly as technology allows."



AMERICAN ACADEMY of arts & sciences



ACADEMY OF THE SOCIAL SCIENCES IN AUSTRALIA







#### It is important to invest in, maintain, and develop emergency communication mechanisms

#### Involves communicating in multiple languages





Recommendation from Word Health Organisation project to improve protocols for disaster communication (Medard-Davis & Kapus, 2014) :

Important to prepare for emergencies through simulation in a rapidly evolving high stress environment

#### Involves simulating communication in second/foreign languages





Despite the importance of being able to communicate in a second language in crisis situations, little research on second language communication in critical situations.

To promote the success of international communication in disaster situations,

it is essential to develop appropriate second language (L2) teaching methods, materials, and assessments.



## Task-based language teaching appears promising to reach this objective

Task-based language teaching aims to prepare learners to carry out **genuine communicative tasks** aligned with their future academic, professional, and/or personal needs.

For disaster/crisis situations: prepare L2 learners to communicate in specific crisis situations







**UC** 

In task-based language teaching, this is achieved by taking <u>task</u>, instead of linguistic units (grammar or vocabulary) as the main unit of language teaching.

Examples for tasks:

- Making a decision about vaccine allocation under pressure
- Communicating evacuation plans
- Deciding an emergency strategy as part of an international group
- Determining what actions to take in an international rescue team





Task-based language teaching aligned with notion of **transfer-appropriate processing** from cognitive psychology:

We can better transfer and "remember what we have learned if the cognitive processes that are active during learning are similar to those that are active during retrieval" (Lightbown, 2007, p. 27).

Implication for crisis settings:

learners need to practice using second language in crisis situations

Parallel to WHO project recommendation: important to prepare for emergencies through simulation in high stress environment



### Growing amount of research on task-based language teaching, **but**

little known about the processes underlying task-based performance, let alone

> in crisis situations

using neuroimaging methods



Broad project aim: investigate the cortical mechanisms involved in oral production during decision-making tasks in crises.



#### **Background: Speech production**



Kormos (2006), Levelt et al. (1999)

#### **End-clauses versus mid-clauses**







#### **Background: Speech production**



De Jong (2016); Rizantseva (2001)



#### **Background: Speech production**



Kormos (2006), Levelt et al. (1999)



### **Specific aims**

- Aim: to examine the neural correlates of silent pausing during crisis-related decision-making tasks
- Effects of first language versus second language
- Cognitive demands of disaster-related decision-making tasks





De Jong (2016); Rizantseva (2001)

### Hypotheses: Language effects



De Jong (2016); Rizantseva (2001)

### Hypotheses: Cognitive task demands



### Hypotheses: Cognitive task demands





### Methodology: Participants

- 26 Japanese learners of L2 English recruited, 20 in final dataset (6 excluded due to audio quality and head movement)
- University students

#### Task

- 1. Desert Island
- 2. Parachute
- 3. Earthquake
- 4. Vaccination
- 5. Flooding
- 6. Fire
- 7. Plane Crash
- 8. New Virus

8 monologic speaking tasks

Disaster-related decisionmaking tasks





#### FLOODING

You have just received a flooding alert! You need to leave by car. It takes three hours to drive to the emergency accommodation. Choose <u>four items</u> from the list to take with you. <u>Explain why you chose or did not choose each item</u>.



Laptop

Gasoline

Mobile phone

Medicine

#### VACCINATION

You work for a COVID-vaccination center. You have five vaccines left. You need to use these five vaccines by the end of the day. A snowstorm hit, so nobody can get to the center any longer. There is a bus stuck nearby with eight passengers. Choose <u>five passengers</u> to get the vaccinations. <u>Explain why you chose or did not choose each person</u>.



Task	Language		
1. Desert Island			
2. Parachute	1.2 English		
3. Earthquake	LZ ENGIISH		
4. Vaccination			
5. Flooding			
6. Fire	L1		
7. Plane Crash	Japanese		
8. New Virus			

8 monologic speaking tasks

Disaster-related decisionmaking tasks





Task	Language	Complexity	
1. Desert Island		Simple	
2. Parachute	L2 English	Simple	
3. Earthquake		Complay	
4. Vaccination		Complex	
5. Flooding		Cimple	
6. Fire	L1 Japanese	Simple	
7. Plane Crash		Complay	
8. New Virus		Complex	

- 8 monologic speaking tasks
- Disaster-related decisionmaking tasks







Task	Language Complexity		
1. Desert Island	L2 English	Simple	
2. Parachute		Simple	
3. Earthquake		Complex	
4. Vaccination		Complex	
5. Flooding	L1 Japanese	Circondo	
6. Fire		Simple	
7. Plane Crash		Complex	
8. New Virus		Complex	

	8	monol	ogic	spea	king	tasks
--	---	-------	------	------	------	-------

<u>ش</u>

- Disaster-related decisionmaking tasks
- Task, language, and task complexity counter-balanced across participants



### Methodology: Procedures

- fMRI scanning: 3T MRI Philips achieva
- > MRI compatible noise cancelling microphone
- Repetition of scan time: 2 seconds





### Methodology: Data analyses

- >160 performance transcribed and coded by one of the researchers using PRAAT (Boersma & Weenink, 2007)
  - >L2 English performance (4 tasks x 20 participants)
  - >L1 Japanese performance (4 tasks x 20 participants)





#### **Behavioural results: Pause frequency**



Mixed effects regression model: Sig interaction between language and pause location



#### **Behavioural results: Pause length**



Mixed effects regression model: Significant effect for pause location



#### fMRI results: Language effects

#### **Region of interest analyses:**

#### Interaction effect between language and pause location



L1/L2 end-clause pausing: greater activation in conceptualisation-related area (theory-of-mind area)

L2 end-clause pausing only: greater activation in conceptualisation-related area (concept retrieval)

#### fMRI results: Language effects

#### **Region of interest analyses:**

#### Interaction effect between language and pause location

Left triangular part of inferior frontal gyrus (BA45) Left opercular part of inferior frontal gyrus (BA44)









L2 mid-clause pausing: greater activation in language-related areas (sentence construction, vocabulary selection)



#### fMRI results: Task effects

#### L2 English

#### L1 Japanese





#### fMRI results: Task effects

#### L2 English

#### right precentral gyrus



#### left precentral gyrus







L2 (end-clause/mid-clause) pausing during more complex task: greater activation in language-related areas







#### Discussion

Why, additional activation in the L2?

 Probably retrieving semantic concepts in the L2 was more demanding than in L1 due to limited proficiency and experience in L2

### Hypotheses: Language effects



De Jong (2016); Rizantseva (2001)

### Hypotheses: Cognitive task demands



### Hypotheses: Cognitive task demands



De Jong (2016); Rizantseva (2001)



### Implications: Methodology

- One of the first studies to combine behavioural and brainimaging methods to study spontaneous speech production
- Neural data appeared more sensitive to detect processing differences (language and task effects) than behavioural speech production data

# Implications: Preparing second language users to operate in crisis settings

- The neuroscience data: differences not only in language but also conceptualisation areas during second language and during more complex crisis-related tasks
- To decrease cognitive load on conceptualisation and thus increase preparedness, second language learners need to practice using second language in crisis situations they might face (e.g., natural disaster).
- Likely to induce better second language performance in terms of deciding what to say and how to say it in real-life crisis situations.









